arXiv:1401.6470v1 [math.CO] 24 Jan 2014

r-Dynamic Chromatic Number of Graphs

Ali Taherkhani
Department of Mathematics
Institute for Advanced Studies in Basic Sciences
P.O. Box 45195-1159*, Zanjan* 45195*, Iran*
ali.taherkhani@iasbs.ac.ir

Abstract

An r-dynamic k-coloring of a graph G is a proper vertex k-coloring such that the neighbors of any vertex v receive at least $\min\{r, \deg(v)\}$ different colors. The r-dynamic chromatic number of G, $\chi_r(G)$, is defined as the smallest k such that G admits an r-dynamic k-coloring. In this paper we introduce an upper bound for $\chi_r(G)$ in terms of r, chromatic number, maximum degree and minimum degree. In 2001, Montgomery [9] conjectured that, for a d-regular graph G, $\chi_2(G) - \chi(G) \leq 2$. In this regard, for a d-regular graph G, we present two upper bounds for $\chi_2(G) - \chi(G)$, one of them, $\lceil 5.437 \log d + 2.721 \rceil$, is an improvement of the bound $14.06 \log d + 1$, proved by Alishahi (2011) [2]. Also, we give an upper bound for $\chi_2(G)$ in terms of chromatic number, maximum degree and minimum degree.

Keywords: Chromatic number, dynamic chromatic number, r-dynamic chromatic number.
Subject classification: 05C

1 Introduction

Let r be a positive integer. An r-dynamic k-coloring of a graph G is a proper vertex k-coloring such that every vertex v receives at least $\min\{r, \deg(\mathrm{v})\}$ colors in its neighbors. The minimum k for which a graph G has a r-dynamic k-coloring is called r-dynamic chromatic number of G, and denoted $\chi_r(G)$. It is obvious that $\chi(G) \leq \chi_r(G) \leq \chi_{r+1}(G)$. The r-dynamic chromatic number first introduced by Montgomery [9]. In case $r = 2$, it is called dynamic chromatic number. The dynamic chromatic number, $\chi_2(G)$, have been investigated in several papers, see, e.g., [1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7]. In 2001 Montgomery conjectured that for a regular graph G, $\chi_2(G) - \chi(G) \leq 2$.

Conjecture 1. [9] *For every regular graph G, we have $\chi_2(G) - \chi(G) \leq 2$.*

Some upper bounds for the dynamic chromatic number of graphs and regular graphs have been studied in recent years.

Theorem A. [9] *Let G be a graph with maximum degree $\Delta(G)$. Then $\chi_2(G) \leq \Delta(G) + 3$.*

In this regard, for a graph G with $\Delta(G) \geq 3$, it was proved that $\chi_2(G) \leq \Delta(G) + 1$ [7]. Also, for a regular graph G, it was shown by Alishahi:

Theorem B. [3] *If G is a d-regular graph, then $\chi_2(G) \leq \chi(G) + 14.06 \log d + 1$.*

Alishahi proved that for every graph G with $\chi(G) \geq 4$, $\chi_2(G) \leq \chi(G) + \gamma(G)$, where $\gamma(G)$ is the domination number of a graph G [3]. In the proof of current upper bound for $\chi_2(G)$, it was shown that if $\chi(G) \geq 4$, then for every $k \geq \chi(G)$, there is a proper k-coloring c such that the set of vertices whose neighbor set receives only one color is an independent set. For a k-coloring c of G, we say that a vertex v of G is bad if $\deg(v) \geq 2$ and only one color appears in the neighbors of v. Let B_c be the set of all bad vertices in the k-coloring c of G.

Another upper bound for the dynamic chromatic number of a d-regular graph G in terms of $\chi(G)$ and the independence number of G, $\alpha(G)$, was introduced in [5]. In fact, it was proved that $\chi_2(G) \leq \chi(G) + 2\log_2 \alpha(G) + 3$.

The set of neighbors of a vertex v in a graph $G = (V(G), E(G))$ is denoted by $N_G(v)$ (or $N(v)$) and the degree of v in G, $|N_G(v)|$, is denoted by $\deg(v)$. For $B \subseteq V(G)$, denote by $N_G(B)$(or $N(B)$) the set of neighbors of B in G. The second power of a graph G is the graph G^2 whose vertex set is $V(G)$, but in which two distinct vertices are adjacent if and only if their distance in G is at most 2. In this paper log stands for the natural logarithm and e denotes its base.

Here we recall two powerful probabilistic tools which we will use in proofs. For more details of the following theorems, we refer the reader to Appendix A and Chapter 5 of [4].

Theorem C. (Chernoff Inequality). *Let $X_1, \ldots, X_n$ be independent random variables. They need not have the same distribution. Assume that $0 \leq X_i \leq 1$ always, for each i. Let $X = X_1 + \cdots + X_n$ and $\mu = E(X) = E(X_1) + \cdots + E(X_n)$. Then for any $\epsilon \geq 0$,*

$$\Pr(X \geq (1+\epsilon)\mu) \leq \left(\frac{e^{\epsilon}}{(1+\epsilon)^{1+\epsilon}}\right)^{\mu},$$

$$\Pr(X \leq (1-\epsilon)\mu) \leq \exp\left(-\frac{\epsilon^2}{2}\mu\right).$$

Theorem D. (Lovász Local Lemma). *Suppose that $A_1, \ldots, A_n$ are events in a probability space with $\Pr(A_i) \leq p$ for all i. If each event is mutually independent of all the other events except for at most d of them, and if $ep(d+1) \leq 1$, then*

$$\Pr(\cap_{i=1}^{n} A_i) > 0.$$

2 Results

In this section we present some upper bounds on the dynamic chromatic number and the r-dynamic chromatic number in terms of the chromatic number, the maximum degree, and the minimum degree.

First we prove a proposition, which gives an upper bound for the r-dynamic chromatic number by applying the following theorem.

Theorem E. [8] *Let H be a hypergraph in which every hyperedge contains at least k points and meets at most d other hyperedges. Let $r \geq 2$ be an integer. If $e((d+1)(r-1)+1)(1-1/r)^k \leq 1$, then H has an r-coloring in which each color appears on each hyperedge.*

Proposition 1. *Let G be a graph with maximum degree Δ and minimum degree δ. If $e((\delta\Delta - \delta + 1)(r-1)+1)(1-1/r)^{\delta} \leq 1$, then $\chi_r(G) \leq r\chi(G)$.*

Proof. For every vertex v, fix a subset $N'(v) \subseteq N(v)$ with δ elements. Consider a hypergraph H whose vertex set is $V(G)$ and its hyperedge set is $E(H) = \{N'(v) : v \in V(G)\}$. Every hyperedge meets at most $\delta(\Delta - 1)$ other hyperedges. Therefore, as a consequence of Theorem E, there is an r-coloring of H, say g, such that each color appears on each hyperedge. Consider a $\chi(G)$-coloring of G, say f. We can now obtain a r-dynamic coloring of G by assigning to each vertex v the ordered pair $(f(v), g(v))$. ■

We should mention that Proposition 1, in case $r = 2$, was proved in [2] and as a corollary of this fact it was shown that the dynamic chromatic number of a d-regular G with $d \geq 7$ is at most $2\chi(G)$. Also, it was shown that for any d-regular graph G, $\chi_2(G) \leq 2\chi(G)$.

In [2], Alishahi showed that each d-regular graph G has dynamic chromatic number at most $\chi(G) + 14.06 \log d + 1$. Also, in [3], he proved that for any d-regular graph G with no induced C_4, $\chi_2(G) \leq \chi(G) + 2\lceil 4 \log d + 1 \rceil$. We strengthen these two results in the following theorem. We show that for a d-regular graph G, $\chi(G) + \lceil e \log(d^2+1) + e \rceil$, which is at most $\chi(G) + \lceil 5.437 \log d + 2.721 \rceil$, when $d \geq 3$.

Theorem 1. *The dynamic chromatic number of a d-regular graph G, $\chi_2(G)$, is at most*

$$\chi(G) + \lceil e \log(d^2+1) + e \rceil.$$

Proof. Let G be a d-regular graph. If $d \leq 14$, then $d + 3 \leq e(1 + \log(d^2+1))$. By Theorem A, $\chi_2(G) \leq d + 3$ and as a result $\chi_2(G) \leq \chi(G) + \lceil e(1 + \log(d^2+1)) \rceil$.

Assume that $\chi(G) \leq 3$ and $d \geq 14$. Since for a d-regular graph G, $\chi_2(G) \leq 2\chi(G)$, we have $\chi_2(G) \leq 6$. if $d \geq 14$, then $6 \leq e(1 + \log(d^2+1))$ and so it is obtained that $\chi_2(G) \leq \chi(G) + e(1 + \log(d^2+1))$.

Now suppose that $d \geq 14$ and $\chi(G) \geq 4$. In view of discussion after Theorem B, there is a coloring, say c, with $\chi(G)$ colors such that the set of bad vertices B_c, for simplicity B, is independent. Choose every vertex of $N(B)$(neighborhoods of B) with probability $p = \log e(d^2+1)/d$ and put a set, say D. We define three type bad events as follows.

- For every $v \in B$, E_v is event that $N(v) \cap D = \varnothing$ or $N(v) \subseteq D$.
- For every $v \in N(B)$, E_v is event that $|N(v) \cap D| \geq edp$.
- For every $v \in V(G) \setminus B \cup N(B)$, E_v is event that $N(v) \subseteq D$.

It is easy to see that for every $v \in B$, $\Pr(E_v) = (1-p)^d + p^d$. For $d \geq 14$, we have

$$(1-p)^d + p^d \leq \exp(-pd) = \frac{1}{e(d^2+1)}.$$

Let $w \in N(B)$ and X_w be a random variable which counts the number of neighbors of w in D. Hence,

$$\Pr(E_w) = \Pr(X_w \geq edp).$$

Suppose that there is a vertex $b \in B$ with $N(b) \subseteq B$. Then, for every $w \in B$, $\Pr(X_w \geq l) \leq \Pr(X_b \geq l)$, where l is a positive integer. Now by the Chernoff inequality, the probability $\Pr(X_w \geq (1+\epsilon)dp) \leq \Pr(X_b \geq (1+\epsilon)dp) \leq (e^\epsilon/(1+\epsilon)^{1+\epsilon})^{dp}$. Take $p = \log e(d^2+1)/d$ and $\epsilon = e-1$. Therefore,

$$\Pr(E_w) \leq \frac{1}{e(d^2+1)}.$$

For every $u \in V(G) \setminus B \cup N(B)$, the probability of event E_u is at most p^d. Since $d \geq 14$, we have $p^d < 1/e(d^2+1)$ and consequently $\Pr(E_u) < 1/e(d^2+1)$.

Every event E_v is mutually independent of all the events corresponding to vertices which have distance greater than 2 from v. As there are d^2 such events and $e(1/e(d^2+1))(d^2+1) \leq 1$, by the Lovász local lemma, it follows that

$$\Pr(\bigcap_{v \in V(G)} E_v^c) > 0.$$

Therefore, there is a subset $D \subseteq N(B)$ which satisfies the following four conditions. First, every bad vertex v has a neighbor in D and a neighbor out of D. Second, the maximum degree of $G[D]$ is at most $e(1+\log(d^2+1))$. Third, since $B \cap N(B) = \varnothing$, every $v \in N(B)$ has at least one neighbor out of D. Fourth, every vertex $v \notin B \cup N(B)$ has at least one neighbor out of D, too.

Now, one can color $G[D]$ with $\lceil e(1+\log(d^2+1)) \rceil$ new colors and extend this new coloring and former coloring c on vertices $V(G) \setminus D$ to a proper dynamic coloring for G with $\chi(G) + \lceil e(1+\log(d^2+1)) \rceil$ colors, as desired. ■

Here we give another upper bound on $\chi_2(G) - \chi(G)$ for a d-regular graph G, which is somewhat complementary to the upper bound in [3]. It was shown in [3] that the difference between the dynamic chromatic number and the chromatic number of a d-regular graph G with $\chi(G) \geq 4$ is at most $\alpha(G^2)$, where $\alpha(G^2)$ is the independence number of graph G^2.

Let G be a graph and let $\{V_1, V_2, \ldots, V_n\}$ be a partition of $V(G)$ into n pairwise disjoint sets. A transversal of G is a subset T of vertices containing exactly one vertex from each V_i. We apply the next theorem in the proof of Theorem 2.

Theorem F. [10] *Let H be a graph of maximum degree d and $\{V_1, V_2, \ldots, V_n\}$ be a partition of its vertex set into n pairwise disjoint sets with $|V_i| \geq d$. Then there is a transversal T such that $H[T]$ is a forest.*

Theorem 2. *Let G be a d-regular graph and c be a k-coloring of G. If B_c is the set of all bad vertices for coloring c, then $\chi_2(G) \leq k + 2\chi(G^2[B_c] \setminus E(G))$. Therefore, $\chi_2(G) \leq \min\{k + 2\chi(G^2[B_c] \setminus E(G)) : \ c \text{ is a } k\text{-coloring of } G\}$.*

Proof. One can easily show that statement is true for $d = 1, 2$. Let $d \geq 3$. Assume that c be a k-coloring of G with the set of bad vertices B_c. By the definition of B_c, for each $v \in B_c$, only one color is assigned to all neighbors of v, $N_G(v)$.
Consider the induced subgraph of G^2 on B_c, $G^2[B_c]$. Delet from $G^2[B_c]$ all edges of G that lie in B_c. Suppose that $l = \chi(G^2[B_c] \setminus E(G))$. Consider an l-coloring of

$G^2[B_c] \setminus E(G)$ which partitions B_c into $B_1, \ldots, B_l$. For every B_i, if $v, w \in B_i$, then $N_G(v) \cap N_G(w) = \varnothing$. It follows that $N_G(B_i)$ is partitioned to $\{N_G(v) | \, v \in B_i\}$.
Our aim is to find a subtest T whose intersection with the neighbor set of each bad vertex is nonempty and for which $G[T]$ has a $2l$-coloring.
We say a bad vertex $v \in B_i$ is tractable if $v \in B_i \cap N_G(B_i)$. Also, we say a vertex $u \in V(G) \setminus B_c$ is potentially bad, if its neighbor set is an independent set and there is an i, $1 \leq i \leq l$, $N_G(u) \subseteq N_G(B_i)$.

Claim 1. *Every vertex $u \in N_G(B_i)$ is adjacent to at most one tractable vertex $v \in B_i$. Moreover, every tractable vertex $w \in B_i$ is adjacent to exactly one tractable vertex $v \in B_i$.*

Assume that $v, v' \in B_i$ are two tractable vertices, which are adjacent to u. But this is a contradiction because $u \in N_G(v) \cap N_G(v')$ and hence v and v' are adjacent in $G^2[B_c] \setminus E(G)$.

Claim 2. *Let u be a potentially bad vertex. One of the following conditions is satisfied.*

- **a)** *The vertex u has at least two neighbors, say x, x', such that both the number of neighbors of x and the number of neighbors of x' in $N_G(B_i)$ are at most $d-1$.*
- **b)** *The vertex u has a neighbor in $N_G(B_i)$, say y, such that the number of neighbors of y in $N_G(B_i)$ is equal to d. This vertex, y, is not a tractable vertex and has a tractable vertex v in its neighbor.*

Let u be a potentially bad vertex. If $u \notin N_G(B_i)$, then the first condition is obviously hold. Suppose that $u \in N_G(B_i)$. If condition (a) is not hold, then at most one vertex in $N_G(u)$ has less than d neighbors in $N_G(B_i)$. Since $d \geq 3$, u is adjacent to at least two vertices which have d neighbors in $N_G(B_i)$. Claim 1 yields that at least one of them is not tractable, say y. Therefore, $y \in N_G(u)$ and y has d neighbors in $N_G(B_i)$. There exists a vertex v which belongs to B_i and is adjacent to y because $y \in N_G(u) \subseteq N_G(B_i)$. Also, $v \in N_G(B_i)$ because all of neighbors of y lie in $N_G(B_i)$. Thus, v is a tractable vertex.
We are now ready to show that there is a transversal forest T_i on vertices of $G[N_G(B_i)]$ which has a 2-coloring f_i such that every bad vertex $v \in B_i$ has one neighbor in T_i and for a potentially bad vertex u, if $N_G(u) \subseteq T_i$, then $|f_i(N_G(u))| \geq 2$.
For every potentially bad vertex u, if it has at least two neighbors with at most $d-1$ neighbors in $N_G(B_i)$, fix two of them and call x_u, x'_u. For every B_i, we obtain a graph H_i by adding all edges $x_u x'_u$ to induced subgraph $G[N_G(B_i)]$. If condition (a) is not hold for u, then u has a neighbor y which is not a tractable vertex and has a tractable vertex v in its neighbor. By Claim 1, v has a unique tractable vertex such w. Hence, $y, w \in N_G(v)$.
Assume that S_i is the set of all tractable vertices in H_i. In view of Claim 1, we conclude that induced subgraph of H_i on S_i is a matching. Consider the graph $H_i \setminus N_G(S_i)$. Since $N_G(v) \cap N_G(w) = \varnothing$ for any two vertex $v, w \in B_i$, we obtain $\{N_G(v) : v \in B_i \setminus S_i\}$ is a partition of $V(H_i) \setminus N_G(S_i)$. Since G is a d-regular graph, for each $v \in B_i$, we have $|N_G(v)| = d$. Also, one can show that the maximum degree

of H_i is at most d. In view of Theorem F, there is a transversal S_i' in $H_i \setminus N_G(S_i)$ such that S_i' is a forest and for every $v \in B_i \setminus S_i$, we have $|N_G(v) \cap S_i'| = 1$. Therefore, if take $T_i = S_i \cup S_i'$, then $T_i \cap N_G(v) \neq \varnothing$ for each vertex $v \in B_i$. As $S_i' \subseteq H_i \setminus N_G(S_i)$, we have $N_G(S_i) \cap S_i' = \varnothing$. Also, because induced subgraphs on S_i and S_i' in H_i are forest and S_i, S_i' are disjoint, one can conclude that T_i is forest. Furthermore, if u is potentially bad vertex and $N_G(u) \subseteq T_i$, then for every 2-coloring f_i of $H_i[T_i]$, $|f_i(N_G(u))| \geq 2$.
Set $T = \cup_{i=1}^{l} T_i$. Define a dynamic coloring f with $k + 2l$ colors for G as follows. If $v \notin T$, define $f(v) = c(v)$; otherwise, define $f(v) = f_i(v)$, where $v \in T_i$. ■

Note that if one can color a d-regular graph G with $\chi(G)$ colors such that any two bad vertices of G in this coloring have no common neighbors (i.e., have a distance either 1 or at least 3 with each other), then Theorem 2 impleis that Montgomery's conjectre is true.

In [9] Montgomery showed that $\chi_2(G) - \chi(G)$ for a graph G can be arbitrarily large. It seems that the small difference between $\Delta(G)$ and $\delta(G)$ provides a small difference between the dynamic chromatic number and the chromatic number of G.

Theorem 3. *Let G be a graph with maximum degree Δ and minimum degree δ. We have*

$$\chi_2(G) - \chi(G) \leq \lceil e\frac{\Delta}{\delta} \log(2e(\Delta^2 + 1)) \rceil.$$

Proof. The validity of the theorem is readily verified when $\Delta = 1, 2$. Thus, we may assume $\Delta \geq 3$. First suppose that $\log 2e(\Delta^2 + 1) \leq \delta/2$ and $\chi(G) \geq 4$. Similar to the proof of Theorem 1, assume that there is a coloring, say c, with $\chi(G)$ colors such that the set of bad vertices is independent. Choose each vertex of $N(B)$(neighborhoods of B) with probability $p = \log 2e(\Delta^2 + 1)/\delta$ and put a set, say D. Same as proof of Theorem 1, we define three types of bad events as follows.

- For every $v \in B$, E_v is event that $N(v) \cap D = \varnothing$ or $N(v) \subseteq D$.
- For every $v \in N(B)$, E_v is event that $|N(v) \cap D| \geq e\text{deg(v)}p$.
- For every $v \in V(G) \setminus (B \cup N(B))$, E_v is event that $N(v) \subseteq D$.

It is easy to see that for every $v \in B$, $\Pr(E_v) \leq (1-p)^\delta + p^\delta$. Since $p \leq \frac{1}{2}$, we have $(1-p)^\delta + p^\delta \leq 2(1-p)^\delta$ and so

$$\Pr(E_v) \leq 2\exp(-p\delta) = \frac{1}{e(\Delta^2 + 1)}.$$

Let $w \in V(G) \setminus B$. By the same discussion of proof of Theorem 1, we can obtain $\Pr(E_w) \leq 1/e(\Delta^2 + 1)$. Since every bad event E_v is mutually independent of all the events, but those which have distance at most 2 from v. Hence, E_v is not mutually independent of at most Δ^2 bad events. Therefore, by the Lovász local lemma, it follows that

$$\Pr(\bigcap_{v \in V(G)} E_v^c) > 0.$$

Now, one can color $G[D]$ with $\lceil e(\Delta/\delta)\log(2e(\Delta^2+1))\rceil$ new colors and extend this new coloring and former coloring c on vertices $V(G)\setminus D$ to a proper dynamic coloring for G with $\chi(G)+\lceil e(\Delta/\delta)\log(2e(\Delta^2+1))\rceil$ colors, as desired.

Assume that $\log 2e(\Delta^2+1)\leq \delta/2$ and $\chi(G)\leq 3$. In this case, the assertion follows from Proposition 1.

If $\delta/2\leq \log(2e(\Delta^2+1))$, we have $e\Delta/2\leq e(\Delta/\delta)\log(2e(\Delta^2+1))$. Also, since $\Delta\geq 3$, we obtain $\Delta+1\leq e\Delta/2$. Hence, $\chi_2(G)-\chi(G)\leq e(\Delta/\delta)\log(2e(\Delta^2+1))$. ■

Here we establish a bound on the r-dynamic chromatic number of a graph G in terms of $\chi(G)$, $\Delta(G)$, $\delta(G)$, and r, when r is at most $\delta/\log(2er(\Delta^2+1))$.

Theorem 4. *Let G be a graph with maximum degree Δ and minimum degree δ and let r be a positive integer with $2\leq r\leq \delta/\log(2er(\Delta^2+1))$. Then the r-dynamic chromatic number of G, $\chi_r(G)$, is at most*

$$\chi(G)+(r-1)\lceil e\frac{\Delta}{\delta}\log(2er(\Delta^2+1))\rceil.$$

Proof. Take $p=\log(2er(\Delta^2+1))/\delta$. Let Y be a random variable which takes values $1,\ldots,r-1$ with probability p, and the value r with probability $1-(r-1)p$. For every vertex v, choose randomly one element of $\{1,2,\ldots,r\}$ with probability distribution of Y. If $Y(v)=i$, put v in D_i. Note that $V(G)$ is partitioned to $D_1,D_2,\ldots,D_r$.

For every vertex v, define two bad events A_v and B_v. Let A_v be the event that there exists a D_i such that $N(v)\subseteq V(G)\setminus D_i$ and let B_v be the event that there exists an $i\leq r-1$, $|N(v)\cap D_i|\geq e\deg(v)p$. It is straight that

$$\Pr(A_v)\leq ((r-1)p)^{\deg(v)}+(r-1)(1-p)^{\deg(v)}.$$

Since $p\leq 1/r$, one can see that

$$\begin{aligned}((r-1)p)^{\deg(v)}+(r-1)(1-p)^{\deg(v)} &\leq r(1-p)^{\deg(v)}\\ &\leq r(1-p)^{\delta}\\ &\leq \tfrac{1}{2e(\Delta^2+1)}.\end{aligned}$$

For $i\leq r-1$, suppose that $B_{v,i}$ is event that $|N(v)\cap D_i|\geq e\deg(v)p$. Hence, $\Pr(B_v)\leq \Sigma_{i=1}^{r-1}\Pr(B_{v,i})$. For each i, $1\leq i\leq r-1$, let $X_{v,i}$ be a random variable which counts the number of neighbors of v in D_i. Therefore, $\Pr(B_{v,i})=\Pr(X_{v,i}\geq e\deg(v)p)$. It is easy to see that $\mathrm{E}(X_{v,i})=\deg(v)p$. In view of the chernoff inequality, we have

$$\Pr(X_{v,i}\geq(1+\epsilon)\deg(v)p)\leq(e^{\epsilon}/(1+\epsilon)^{1+\epsilon})^{\deg(v)p}$$

and then

$$Pr(B_v)\leq(r-1)(e^{\epsilon}/(1+\epsilon)^{1+\epsilon})^{\deg(v)p}.$$

If choose $\epsilon = e - 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr(B_v) &\leq (r-1)\exp(-\deg(v)p) \\ &\leq (r-1)\exp(-\delta p) \\ &\leq \tfrac{r-1}{2re(\Delta^2+1)} \\ &\leq \tfrac{1}{2e(\Delta^2+1)}. \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that each event $A_v(B_v)$ is mutually independent of all the events A_w or B_w, but those which have distance at most 2 from v. As there are at most $2\Delta^2 + 1$ such events, the Lovász local lemma yields that

$$\Pr(\bigcap_{v \in V(G)} (A_v^c \cap B_v^c)) > 0.$$

Therefore, there exists a partition $D_1, D_2, \ldots, D_r$ such that for each vertex $v \in V(G)$ and each i, $1 \leq i \leq r$, $N(v) \cap D_i \neq \varnothing$. Moreover, for $1 \leq i \leq r-1$, the maximum degree of $G[D_i]$ is at most

$$\lfloor e\frac{\Delta}{\delta}\log(2er(\Delta^2+1))\rfloor.$$

Hence, by greedy coloring algorithm, One can color each induced subgraphs $G[D_i]$ with $\lceil e\frac{\Delta}{\delta}\log(2er(\Delta^2+1))\rceil$ colors. In addition, since $\chi(G[D_r]) \leq \chi(G)$, we can color the vertices of $G[D_r]$ with at most $\chi(G)$ colors. Hence,

$$\chi_r(G) \leq \chi(G) + (r-1)\lceil e\frac{\Delta}{\delta}\log(2er(\Delta^2+1))\rceil.$$

■

Corollary 1. *Let G be a d-regular graph and r be a positive integer with $2 \leq r \leq d/\log(2er(d^2+1))$. Then the r-dynamic chromatic number of G, $\chi_r(G)$, is at most*

$$\chi(G) + (r-1)\lceil e\log 2er(d^2+1)\rceil.$$

Acknowledgement: I would like to express my deep gratitude to Professor Carsten Thomassen for his support during my visit in Technical University of Denmark. My visit was supported by the ERC Advanced Grant GRACOL. I would also like to thank Professor Hossein Hajiabolhassan for his useful comments.

References

[1] A. Ahadi, S. Akbari, A. Dehghan, and M. Ghanbari, On the difference between chromatic number and dynamic chromatic number of graphs, *Discrete Math.*, 312(17):2579–2583, 2012.

[2] M. Alishahi, On the dynamic coloring of graphs, *Discrete Appl. Math.*, 159(2-3):152–156, 2011.

[3] M. Alishahi, Dynamic chromatic number of regular graphs, *Discrete Appl. Math.*, 160(15):2098–2103, 2012.

[4] N. Alon and J.H. Spencer, *The probabilistic method*, Wiley-Interscience Series in Discrete Mathematics and Optimization. Wiley-Interscience [John Wiley & Sons], New York, second edition, 2000, With an appendix on the life and work of Paul Erdős.

[5] A. Dehghan and A. Ahadi, Upper bounds for the 2-hued chromatic number of graphs in terms of the independence number, *Discrete Appl. Math.*, 160(15):2142–2146, 2012.

[6] S.J. Kim, S.J. Lee, and W.J. Park, Dynamic coloring and list dynamic coloring of planar graphs, *Discrete Appl. Math.*, 161(13-14):2207–2212, 2013.

[7] H.J. Lai, B. Montgomery, and H. Poon, Upper bounds of dynamic chromatic number, *Ars Combin.*, 68:193–201, 2003.

[8] C. McDiarmid, Hypergraph colouring and the Lovász local lemma, *Discrete Math.*, 167/168:481–486, 1997, 15th British Combinatorial Conference (Stirling, 1995).

[9] B. Montgomery, *Dynamic coloring of graphs*, ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, 2001, Thesis (Ph.D.)–West Virginia University.

[10] T. Szabó and G. Tardos, Extremal problems for transversals in graphs with bounded degree, *Combinatorica*, 26(3):333–351, 2006.